Freeciv - Task #896778
Inspect new bug/patch tracker options, potentially migrate
2020-11-14 06:33 AM - Marko Lindqvist

Status: Closed
Priority: Normal
Assignee: Marko Lindqvist
Category: General
Sprint/Milestone: 2.6.4

Description
We can't have new users to hostedredmine reporting bugs after it became part of planio. Inspect our options, and migrate.

Plan is to look at this after 2.6.2.1 and 3.0.0-alpha5 releases are out of the way. Hopefully things are settled already before 2.6.3 release, so we can advertise correct BUG_URL at it.

Related issues:
Related to Freeciv - Feature #894573: Resolve BUG_URL for near future
Related to Freeciv - Task #765919: Enable issues in GitHub

History
#1 - 2020-11-14 06:33 AM - Marko Lindqvist
- Related to Feature #894573: Resolve BUG_URL for near future added

#2 - 2020-11-25 04:39 PM - Marko Lindqvist
- Related to Task #765919: Enable issues in GitHub added

#3 - 2020-12-10 10:11 PM - Marko Lindqvist
- Sprint/Milestone changed from 2.6.3 to 2.6.4

One of the options is [https://osdn.net/](https://osdn.net/)

#4 - 2021-01-03 12:05 AM - Marko Lindqvist
- Status changed from New to In Progress

#5 - 2021-01-03 11:26 AM - Marko Lindqvist
Things of interest to us that osdn and github are lacking.

OSDN:
- Only open/closed status, no way to indicate "Review Period"
- No relations between tickets - no metatickets

github:
- No way to indicate "Review Period"
- No relations between tickets - no metatickets
- Doesn't work well for non-code related project management Task tickets
- No components
- No types (bug/patch/task/milestone/deliverable)
(I sort of gave up with github at this point of the evaluation, so didn't really think through what else it lacks)

So, osdn is not good, but by far better than github.

#6 - 2021-01-04 04:16 PM - Marko Lindqvist
My proposal for OSDN ticketing system pilot test:
[https://www.freelists.org/post/freeciv-dev/Apparently-We-cant-have-new-user-accounts-to-hostedredmine](https://www.freelists.org/post/freeciv-dev/Apparently-We-cant-have-new-user-accounts-to-hostedredmine)

#7 - 2021-02-03 02:16 PM - Marko Lindqvist
- File 0002-Update-BUG_URL-to-point-to-osdn.patch added
- Category set to General
- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

Patch to document osdn as the tracking site to use in S2.6 too.

#8 - 2021-02-10 07:06 AM - Marko Lindqvist
- File 0002-update-BUG_URL-to-point-to-osdn.patch added
- Update ticketing system link in doc/TODO

#9 - 2021-02-27 02:01 AM - Marko Lindqvist
Marko Lindqvist wrote:

Patch to document osdn as the tracking site to use in S2.6 too.

Are we now convinced enough with osdn to push this patch in, and to release 2.6.4 with documentation pointing to osdn as the bug tracker?

#10 - 2021-02-27 03:06 PM - Sveinung Kvilhaugsvik
Marko Lindqvist wrote:

Marko Lindqvist wrote:

Patch to document osdn as the tracking site to use in S2.6 too.

Are we now convinced enough with osdn to push this patch in, and to release 2.6.4 with documentation pointing to osdn as the bug tracker?

I have not seen a dependency between issue marker to document why a small, apparently independent change was done in osdn. (Maybe we should keep a pre draft of the release notes in Git so we can document it there? With the understanding that anything there can be completely rewritten or even dropped when the real release notes are created?) I have also experienced that patch files refuse to upload to osdn for a while but it will start working again. While osdn isn't ideal it is what we have where users without existing hrms accounts can post. I therefore vote yes.

#11 - 2021-02-28 02:41 PM - Marko Lindqvist
- Status changed from Resolved to Closed
- Assignee set to Marko Lindqvist

Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0002-update-BUG_URL-to-point-to-osdn.patch</td>
<td>2.02 KB</td>
<td>2021-02-03</td>
<td>Marko Lindqvist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002-update-BUG_URL-to-point-to-osdn.patch</td>
<td>2.46 KB</td>
<td>2021-02-10</td>
<td>Marko Lindqvist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>